& CL

CERTIFICATE OF Toplicant(s): Ping Liang	RANSMISSION BY FA	ACSIMILE (37 CFR 1	.8)	Docket N XDM00-	
Serial No. 09/667,091	Filing Date 09/21/2000	Examine Trisha U V		•	Art Unit 189
/ention: Universal Seria	al Bus for Mobile Devices h	aving Expansion Modules		CEN	TRAL FA
·					MAY 1 2
				(	)FFI(
•				_	
•					
ereby certify that this		e transmittal sheet, 3 page (Identify type of correspond	ence)		PTO
peing facsimile transmitt	ted to the United States Pa	ntent and Trademark Office	e (Fax. No. 7	703 872 9306	<del></del>
05/12/04					
(Date)					
					•
	<del>_</del>	R (Typed as Printed Na	icha Dhindsa	ning Certificate)	
	<u>-</u>	(Typed or Printed Na	me of Person Sign		
		R (Typed or Printed Na KiCho	icha Dhindsa me of Person Sign Dwwolss (Signature)		
	 	(Typed or Printed Na	nie of Person Sign		
-	<b>-</b>	(Typed or Printed Na	nie of Person Sign		
		(Typed or Printed Na	nie of Person Sign		
		(Typed op Printed Na	me of Person Sign DW volst (Signature)		
	Note: Each paper mu	(Typed or Printed Na	me of Person Sign DW volst (Signature)		
	Note: Each paper mu	(Typed op Printed Na	me of Person Sign DW volst (Signature)		
	Note: Each paper mu	(Typed op Printed Na	me of Person Sign DW volst (Signature)	7	
	Note: Each paper mu	(Typed op Printed Na	me of Person Sign DW volst (Signature)	7	
	Note: Each paper mu	(Typed op Printed Na	me of Person Sign DW volst (Signature)	7	HAY
	Note: Each paper mu	(Typed op Printed Na	me of Person Sign DW volst (Signature)	7	HAY I L
	Note: Each paper mu	(Typed op Printed Na	me of Person Sign DW volst (Signature)	7	MAY 14 2004

MAY-12-2004 P FI: 300 KLE

UNITED TATES PATE

A TRADE

TATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United State Patent and Tendemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bos, 1459 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/667,091	09/21/2000	Ping Liang	XDM 00-02	6380
75	90 04/14/2004		EXAME	NER
KLEIN, O'NE	ILL & SINGH		VU, TRIS	ITA U
2 PARK PLAZ SUITE 510	A	RECEIVED	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA	92614	H Richard W Hamp Half	2112	
		ADD 4 0 0001	DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004	12

APR 19 2004

KLEIN, O'NEILL & SINGE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

11 - 103	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/667,091	LIANG, PING
्रि र ग्रिकेटिंग Interview Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
**************************************	Trisha U. Vu	2112
િલ TRANGER All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P	TO personnel):	
1) <u>Trisha U. Vu</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Tejinder Singh (Reg. No. 39,535)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 08 April 2004.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal (copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's represe	ntative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 18 and 28.		
Identification of prior art discussed: Hannah et al. (U.S.	<i>Pat. 5,784,581)</i> .	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	. g)⊠ was not reached.	h)□ N/A.
reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's proposed</u> cited Hannah et al. reference. However, <u>Applicant's references</u> rexpansion card". This new limitation of "expansion card".	presentative further propose	ed changing "expansion module" to alms from the cited reference. The
examiner indicated that further search and/or reconside attached herein).  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where not allowable is a search and/or reconside attached.	ration are needed (a copy of the examination are needed (a copy of the	ner agreed would render the claim
attached herein).	endments which the examino copy of the amendments	ner agreed would render the claim
attached herein).  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where n	endments which the examino copy of the amendments shed.)  E ACTION MUST INCLUDING the last Office action has a DR THE MAILING DATE ONT OF THE SUBSTANCE (	ner agreed would render the claim that would render the claims  E THE SUBSTANCE OF THE iready been filed, APPLICANT IS F THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY OF THE INTERVIEW. See
attached herein).  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where nallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, GORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEME	endments which the examino copy of the amendments shed.)  E ACTION MUST INCLUDING the last Office action has a DR THE MAILING DATE ONT OF THE SUBSTANCE (	ner agreed would render the claim that would render the claims  E THE SUBSTANCE OF THE iready been filed, APPLICANT IS F THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY OF THE INTERVIEW. See
attached herein).  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where nallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, GORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEME	endments which the examino copy of the amendments shed.)  E ACTION MUST INCLUDITHE last Office action has a OR THE MAILING DATE ONT OF THE SUBSTANCE (e side or on attached sheet	ner agreed would render the claim that would render the claims  E THE SUBSTANCE OF THE iready been filed, APPLICANT IS F THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY OF THE INTERVIEW. See
attached herein).  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where nallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, GORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEME	endments which the examino copy of the amendments shed.)  E ACTION MUST INCLUDITHE last Office action has a OR THE MAILING DATE ONT OF THE SUBSTANCE (e side or on attached sheet	ner agreed would render the claims that would render the claims  E THE SUBSTANCE OF THE fready been filed, APPLICANT IS F THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY OF THE INTERVIEW. See
attached herein).  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where nallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, GORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEME	endments which the examino copy of the amendments shed.)  E ACTION MUST INCLUDE the last Office action has a DR THE MAILING DATE ONT OF THE SUBSTANCE (e side or on attached sheet Substance).	ner agreed would render the claims that would render the claims  E THE SUBSTANCE OF THE fready been filed, APPLICANT IS F THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY OF THE INTERVIEW. See
attached herein).  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where nallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, GORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEME	endments which the examino copy of the amendments thed.)  E ACTION MUST INCLUDING the last Office action has a DR THE MAILING DATE ONT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF SIDE OF THE SUBSTANCE	ner agreed would render the claims that would render the claims  E THE SUBSTANCE OF THE fready been filed, APPLICANT IS F THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY OF THE INTERVIEW. See

## Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

#### Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview a warranting feverable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for rupty to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their etterneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any afleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracles which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable ecript in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An Indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner, (The identification of arguments need not be langthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully
- describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.) 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

### **Examiner to Check for Accuracy**

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Date: 5/12/2004 Time: 4:37:16 PM



# LAW OFFICE OF JAY R. YABLON

Patent · Trademark · Copyright · Trade Secret · Licensing

910 Northumberland Drive Schenectady, New York 12309 Tel/Fax: 518-377-6737

EMail: jyablon@nycap.rr.com

FACSIMILE	COVER	PAGE
-----------	-------	------

To: Examiner Maikhanh Nguyen	From: Jay R. Yablon	RECEIVED
Fax #: 1-703-872-9306	Fax #: 518-377-6737	CENTRAL FAX CENTER
Company: USPTO Art Unit 2176	Tel #: 518-377-6737	MAY 1 2 2004

Subject: Extension for response within second month for US 10/063,183

Sent: 5/12/2004 at 4:37:02 PM Pages: 2 (including cover)



## **MESSAGE:**

Dear Sirs:

A Final Office Action was issued in this case on December 30, 2003, which was thereafter followed by an advisory action setting the expiration of the shortened statutory period for reply at three months following the Final Office Action.

Attached is a credit card payment form for \$210, to extend the date for reply to MAY 30, 2004. Please extend the reply date accordingly.

I anticipate filing a continuation application prior to this new deadline.

05/14/2004 CHGUYEN 00000069 10063183"

01 (C:2252

210.00 OP

Very truly yours,

Jay R. Yablon, Registration # 30604

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Fax Number 1-703-872-9306 on the transmission date of 5/12/2004, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.8

Name of person signing this certificate: Jay R. Yablon

RECEIVEL HAY I'U 2004 IIPEZ JCWS

(signature)